# 3 Week 02 notes

# **Repeated trials**

# 01 Theory

#### Repeated trials

When a single experiment type is repeated many times, and we assume each instance is *independent* of the others, we say it is a sequence of **repeated trials** or **independent trials**.

The probability of any sequence of outcomes is derived using independence together with the probabilities of outcomes of each trial.

A simple type of trial, called a **Bernoulli trial**, has two possible outcomes, 1 and 0, or success and failure, or T and F. A sequence of repeated Bernoulli trials is called a **Bernoulli process**.

- Write sequences like *TFFTTF* for the outcomes of repeated trials of this type.
- Independence implies

$$P[TFFTTF] = P[T] \cdot P[F] \cdot P[F] \cdot P[T] \cdot P[T] \cdot P[F]$$

• Write p = P[T] and q = P[F], and because these are all outcomes (exclusive and exhaustive), we have q = 1 - p. Then:

$$P[TFFTTF] \gg pqqppq \gg p^3q^3 = (\frac{1}{2})^{6}$$

• This gives a formula for the probability of any sequence of these trials.

$$\frac{1}{2^6} = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^6 \qquad \rho = \frac{1}{9}$$

A more complex trial may have three outcomes, A, B, and C.

- Write sequences like *ABBACABCA* for the outcomes.
- Label p = P[A] and q = P[B] and r = P[C]. We must have p + q + r = 1.
- Independence implies

$$P[ABBACABCA] \gg pqqprpqrp \gg p^4q^3r^2$$

• This gives a formula for the probability of any sequence of these trials.

Let *S* stand for the *sum of successes* in some Bernoulli process. So, for example, "S = 3" stands for the event that the number of successes is exactly 3. The probabilities of *S* events follow a **binomial distribution**.

Suppose a coin is biased with P[H] = 20%, and H is 'success'. Flip the coin 20 times. Then:

$$P[S=3] \quad \gg \gg \quad egin{pmatrix} 20 \ 3 \end{pmatrix} \cdot 0.2^3 \cdot 0.8^{17}$$

Each outcome with exactly 3 heads and 17 tails has probability  $0.2^3 \cdot 0.8^{17}$ . The *number* of such outcomes is the number of ways to choose 3 of the flips to be heads out of the 20 total flips.

The probability of at least 18 heads would then be:

$$P[S \ge 18] \gg P[S = 18] + P[S = 19] + P[S = 20]$$
  
 $\gg \gg {\binom{20}{18}} \cdot (0.2)^{18} \cdot (0.8)^2 + {\binom{20}{19}} \cdot (0.2)^{19} \cdot (0.8)^1 + {\binom{20}{20}} \cdot (0.2)^{20} \cdot (0.8)^6$ 

With three possible outcomes, A, B, and C, we can write sum variables like  $S_A$  which counts the number of A outcomes, and  $S_B$  and  $S_C$  similarly. The probabilities of events like " $(S_A, S_B, S_C) = (2, 3, 5)$ " follow a **multinomial distribution**.

### **02 Illustration**

≡ Example - Multinomial: Soft drinks preferred

Folks coming to a party prefer Coke (55%), Pepsi (25%), or Dew (20%). If 20 people order drinks in sequence, what is the probability that exactly 12 have Coke and 5 have Pepsi and 3 have Dew?

#### Solution

The multinomial coefficient  $\begin{pmatrix} 20\\ 12, 5, 3 \end{pmatrix}$  gives the number of ways to assign 20 people into bins according to preferences matching the given numbers, C = 12 and P = 5 and D = 3.

Each such assignment is one sequence of outcomes. All such sequences have probability  $(0.55)^{12} \cdot (0.25)^5 \cdot (0.2)^3$ .

The answer is therefore:

$$\binom{20}{12,5,3} \cdot (0.55)^{12} \cdot (0.25)^5 \cdot (0.2)^3 \quad \gg \gg \quad \frac{20!}{12! \, 5! \, 3!} \cdot (0.55)^{12} \cdot (0.25)^5 \cdot (0.2)^3$$

# Reliability

# **03 Theory**

Consider some process schematically with **components in series** and **components in parallel**:



- Each component has a probability of success or failure.
- Event *W<sub>i</sub>* indicates 'success' of that component (same name).
- Then  $P[W_i]$  is the probability of  $W_i$  succeeding.

Success for a *series* of components requires success of *each* member.

- Series components *rely on each other*.
- Success of the whole is success of part 1 AND success of part 2 AND part 3, etc.

Failure for *parallel* components requires failure of *each* member.

- Parallel components represent *redundancy*.
- Success of the whole is success of part 1 OR success of part 2 OR part 3, etc.

For series components:

$$P[W] = P[W_1W_2W_3] = P[W_1] \cdot P[W_2] \cdot P[W_3]$$

For parallel components:

 $P[W^c] =$  "failure"  $\gg \gg P[W_1^c W_2^c W_3^c]$ 

$$\gg \gg (1 - P[W_1])(1 - P[W_2])(1 - P[W_3])$$

If  $P[W_i] = p$  for all components *i*, then:

- Series components:  $P[W] = p^3$
- Parallel components:  $P[W] = 1 (1 p)^3$

To analyze a complex diagram of series and parallel components, bundle each:

- pure series set as a single compound component with its own success probability (the product)
- pure parallel set as a single compound component with its own success probability (using the failure formula)

This is like the analysis of resistors and inductors.

### **04 Illustration**

#### $\equiv$ Example - Series, parallel, series

Suppose a process has internal components arranged like this:



Write  $W_i$  for the event that component *i* succeeds, and  $W_i^c$  for the event that it fails.

The success probabilities for each component are given in the chart:

1	2	3	4	5		
92%	89%	95%	86%	91%		

Find the probability that the entire system succeeds.

Solution

 $\begin{array}{l} 1 \implies \text{Conjoin components 2 and 3 in series.} \\ \circ \text{ Compute:} \\ P[W_2W_3] \implies P[W_2] \cdot P[W_3] \implies (0.89) \cdot (0.95) = 0.8455 \\ \circ \text{ Therefore:} \\ P[(W_2W_3)^c] \implies 1 - 0.846 \implies 0.1545 \\ \hline \\ 2 \implies \text{Conjoin components (2-3) with 4 and 5 in parallel.} \\ \circ \text{Compute for the complement (failure) first:} \\ P[(W_2W_3 \cup W_4 \cup W_5)^c] \implies P[(W_2W_3)^c] \cdot P[W_4^c] \cdot P[W_5^c] \\ \implies (0.1545)(0.14)(0.09) \implies 0.0019467 \\ \hline \\ \text{Flip back to success:} \\ P[W_2W_3 \cup W_4 \cup W_5] \implies 1 - 0.0019467 \implies 0.9980533 \\ \hline \\ 3 \implies \text{Conjoin components 1 with (2-3-4-5) in series.} \\ \bullet \text{ Compute:} \\ P\left[W_1(W_2W_3 \cup W_4 \cup W_5)\right] \implies (0.92)(0.9980533) \\ \implies 0.918209036 \implies 91.82\% \\ \end{array}$ 

# **Discrete random variables**

## **05** Theory

🕆 Random variable

A **random variable (RV)** *X* on a probability space  $(S, \mathcal{F}, P)$  is a function  $X : S \to \mathbb{R}$ .

So *X* assigns to each *outcome* a *number*.

• (!) The word 'variable' indicates that the RV outputs *numbers*.

Random variables can be formed from other random variables using mathematical operations on the output numbers.

Given random variables X and Y, we can form these new ones:

$$rac{1}{2}(X+Y), \qquad X\cdot Y, \qquad \cos X, \qquad X^2, \qquad ext{etc.}$$

Suppose  $s \in S$  is some particular outcome. Then, for example, (X + Y)(s) is by definition X(s) + Y(s).

Random variables determine events.

- Given  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , the event "X = a" is equal to the set  $X^{-1}(a)$
- That is: the set of outcomes mapped to *a* by *X*
- That is: the event "*X* took the value *a*"

Such events have probabilities. We write them like this:

$$P[X=a] \gg P[X^{-1}(a)]$$

a

R

This generalized to events where *X* lies in some range or set, for example:

$$P[a \leq X < b], \qquad Pig[X \in \{2,4,5,6,9\}ig] \ ext{P}ig[\chi^{ imes}ig(arsigma^{ imes},arsigma,ars,ars,arsigma,arsigma,arsigma,arsigma,arsigma,arsig$$

The axioms of probability translate into rules for these events.

For example, additivity leads to:

$$P[X < 0] + P[X = 0] + P[0 < X \le 3] + P[3 < X] = 1$$

A **discrete** random variable has probability concentrated at a discrete set of real numbers.

- A 'discrete set' means finite or countably infinite.
- The distribution of probability is recorded using a **probability mass function (PMF)** that assigns probabilities to each of the discrete real numbers.
- Numbers with nonzero probability are called **possible values**.

#### B PMF

The PMF function for X (a discrete RV) is defined by:

$$P_X(k) := P[X = k]$$

for  $k \in \mathbb{R}$  a possible value.

A continuous random variable has probability spread out over the space of real numbers.

• The distribution of probability is recorded using a **probability density function (PDF)** which is *integrated over intervals* to determine probabilities.

#### B PDF

The PDF function for Y (a CRV) is written  $f_Y(x)$  for  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , and probabilities are calculated like this:  $\int_{y}^{\infty} f_{y}(x) dx = \mathcal{I}$ 

$$Pig[ a \leq a > b ig] = \int_a^b f_{a}(x) \, dx$$



For any RV, whether discrete or continuous, the distribution of probability is encoded by a function:

B<sup>O</sup> CDF

The cumulative distribution function (CDF) for a random variable X is defined for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  by:

$$F_X(x) = P[X \le x] \qquad \stackrel{\text{(ontheorem 5)}}{\longrightarrow} \qquad F_{\times}(x) = \int_{-\infty} f_{\times}(t) dt$$

Notes:

- Sometimes the relation to X is omitted and one sees just "F(x)."
- Sometimes the CDF is called, simply, "the distribution function" because:
- 🕛 The CDF works equally well for discrete and continuous RVs.
  - Not true for PMF (discrete only) or PDF (continuous only).
  - There are *mixed* cases (partly discrete, partly continuous) for which the CDF is *essential*.

The CDF of a discrete RV is always a stepwise increasing function. At each step up, the jump size matches the PMF value there.

From this graph of  $F_X(x)$ :





we can infer the PMF values based on the jump sizes:

$P_X(-1)$	$P_X(0)$	$P_X(1)$	$P_X(2)$	$P_X(3)$	$P_X(4)$
0	1/8	3/8	3/8	1/8	0

For a discrete RV, the CDF and the PMF can be calculated from each other using formulas.

#### PMF from CDF from PMF

Given a PMF  $P_X(x)$ , the CDF is determined by:

$$F_{oldsymbol{arsigma}}(x) = \sum_{k_i \leq x} P_X(k_i)$$

where  $\{k_1, k_2, \ldots\}$  is the set of possible values of X.

Given a CDF  $F_X(x)$ , the PMF is determined by:

$$P_X(k) = F_X(k) - \lim_{x o k^-} F_X(x) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \int_{a+1}^{b} u m \rho^{t}$$

# **06 Illustration**

#### $\equiv$ Example - PDF and CDF: Roll 2 dice

Roll two dice colored red and green. Let  $X_R$  record the number of dots showing on the red die,  $X_G$  the number on the green die, and let S be a random variable giving the total number of dots showing after the roll, namely  $S = X_R + X_G$ .

- Find the PMFs of  $X_R$  and of  $X_G$  and of S.
- Find the CDF of *S*.
- Find P[S=8].

### Solution

- 1.  $\equiv$  Sample space.
  - Denote outcomes with ordered pairs of numbers (*i*, *j*), where *i* is the number showing on the red die and *j* is the number on the green one.
  - Require that  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$  are integers satisfying  $1 \leq i, j \leq 6$ .
  - Events are sets of distinct such pairs.

```
2. \Rightarrow Create chart of outcomes.
```

•	Cha	art:						X۵
XG	+	1	2	3	4	5	6	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
4	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	
	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	
	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	

3.  $\equiv$  Definitions of  $X_R$ ,  $X_G$ , and S.

- We have  $X_R(i, j) = i$  and  $X_G(i, j) = j$ .
- Therefore S(i, j) = i + j.

4.  $\Rightarrow$  Find PMF of  $X_R$ .

- Use variable *n* for each possible value of  $X_R$ , so n = 1, 2, ..., 6.
- Find  $P_{X_R}(n)$ :

$$P_{X_R}(n) \quad \gg \gg \quad P[X_R=n]$$

$$\gg \gg \frac{|\text{outcomes with } n \text{ on red}|}{|\text{all outcomes}|} \gg \gg \frac{6}{36} = \frac{1}{6}$$

• Therefore  $P_{X_R}(n) = 1/6$  for every n.

5.  $\equiv$  Find PMF of  $X_G$ .

• Same as for  $X_R$ :

$$P_{X_{G}}(n) = \frac{1}{6}$$
 for all  $n$ ,  $\left(=0 \text{ all } e^{l \leq e}\right)$ 

6.  $\models \exists$  Find PMF of S.

• Find  $P_S(n)$ :

$$P_S(n) \quad \gg \gg \quad P[S=n] \quad \gg \gg$$

 $\frac{|\text{outcomes with sum } n|}{|\text{all outcomes}|}$ 

•  $\triangle$  Count outcomes along *diagonal lines* in the chart.

• Create table of  $P_S(n)$ :

$p_{S}(k) = P(S = k)  \frac{1}{36}  \frac{2}{36}  \frac{3}{36}  \frac{4}{36}  \frac{5}{36}  \frac{6}{36}  \frac{5}{36}  \frac{4}{36}  \frac{3}{36}  \frac{2}{36}  \frac{1}{36}$	k	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
	$p_S(k) = P(S = k)$	$\frac{1}{36}$	$\frac{2}{36}$	$\frac{3}{36}$	$\frac{4}{36}$	$\frac{5}{36}$	$\frac{6}{36}$	$\frac{5}{36}$	$\frac{4}{36}$	$\frac{3}{36}$	$\frac{2}{36}$	$\frac{1}{36}$

• Create bar chart of  $P_S(n)$ :



≡ Example - Total heads count; binomial expansion of 1

A fair coin is flipped n times.

Let *X* be the random variable that counts the total number of heads in each sequence.

The PMF of *X* is given by:

$$P["X = k"] = P["k heads"]$$

$$P_X(k) = \binom{n}{k} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n$$

Since the total probability must add to 1, we know this formula must hold:

$$egin{aligned} 1 &= \sum_{ ext{possible } k} P_X(k) \ &\gg & 1 &= \sum_{k=0}^n {n \choose k} igg(rac{1}{2}igg)^n \end{aligned}$$

Is this equation really true?

There is another way to view this equation: it is the binomial expansion  $(x + y)^n$  where  $x = \frac{1}{2}$  and  $y = \frac{1}{2}$ :

$$\left(rac{1}{2}+rac{1}{2}
ight)^n=\sum_{k=0}^n\binom{n}{k}\left(rac{1}{2}
ight)^n$$

 $\equiv$  Example - Life insurance payouts

A life insurance company has two clients, A and B, each with a policy that pays \$100,000 upon death. Consider events  $D_1$  that the older client dies next year, and  $D_2$  that the younger dies next year. Suppose  $P[D_1] = 0.10$  and  $P[D_2] = 0.05$ .

Define a random variable X measuring the total money paid out next year in units of \$1,000. The possible values for X are 0, 100, 200. We calculate:

$$\begin{split} P[X=0] & \gg \gg \quad P[D_1^c]P[D_2^c] = 0.95 \cdot 0.90 = 0.86 \\ P[X=100] & \gg \gg \quad 0.05 \cdot 0.90 + 0.95 \cdot 0.10 = 0.14 \\ P[X=200] & \gg \gg \quad 0.05 \cdot 0.10 = 0.005 \end{split}$$