# Week 02 notes

# **Bayes' Theorem**

# **10 Theory**

🗄 Bayes' Theorem

For any events A and B:

$$P[B \mid A] = P[B] \cdot \frac{P[A \mid B]}{P[A]}$$

• 🛆 Bayes' Theorem is sometimes called Bayes' Rule.

Bayes' Theorem - Derivation

Start with the observation that AB = BA, or event "A AND B" equals event "B AND A".

Apply the *multiplication rule* to each of order:

$$P[AB] = P[A] \cdot P[B \mid A]$$
$$P[BA] = P[B] \cdot P[A \mid B]$$

Equate them and rearrange:

$$\begin{split} P[AB] &= P[BA] \quad \gg \gg \quad P[A] \cdot P[B \mid A] = P[B] \cdot P[A \mid B] \\ &\implies \qquad P[B \mid A] = P[B] \cdot \frac{P[A \mid B]}{P[A]} \end{split}$$

The main application of Bayes' Theorem is to calculate P[A | B] when it is easy to calculate P[B | A] from the problem setup. Often this occurs in **multi-stage experiments** where event *A* describes outcomes of an intermediate stage.

Note: these notes use *alphabetical order A*, *B* as a mnemonic for *temporal or logical order*, i.e. that *A* comes *first* in time, or that otherwise that *A* is the *prior* conditional from which it is easier to calculate *B*.

# **11 Illustration**

### $\equiv$ Example - Bayes' Theorem - COVID tests

Assume that 0.5% of people have COVID. Suppose a COVID test gives a (true) positive on 96% of patients who have COVID, but gives a (false) positive on 2% of patients who do not have COVID. Bob tests positive. What is the probability that Bob has COVID?

# Solution

#### 1. $\equiv$ Label events.

- Event  $A_P$ : Bob is actually positive for COVID
- Event  $A_N$ : Bob is actually negative; note  $A_N = A_P^c$
- Event *T<sub>P</sub>*: Bob tests positive

• Event  $T_N$ : Bob tests negative; note  $T_N = T_P^c$ 

#### 2. $\Rightarrow$ Identify knowns.

- Know:  $P[T_P \mid A_P] = 96\%$
- Know:  $P[T_P \mid A_N] = 2\%$
- Know:  $P[A_P] = 0.5\%$  and therefore  $P[A_N] = 99.5\%$
- We seek:  $P[A_P \mid T_P]$

3. ! Translate Bayes' Theorem.

• Using  $A = T_P$  and  $B = A_P$  in the formula:

$$P[A_P \mid T_P] = P[A_P] \cdot rac{P[T_P \mid A_P]}{P[T_P]}$$

• We know all values on the right except  $P[T_P]$ 

4. 🛆 Use Division into Cases.

• Observe:

$$T_P = T_P \cap A_P \ \bigcup \ T_P \cap A_N$$

• Division into Cases yields:

$$P[T_P] = P[A_P] \cdot P[T_P \mid A_P] + P[A_N] \cdot P[T_P \mid A_N]$$

• ① Important to notice this technique!

- It is a common element of Bayes' Theorem application problems.
- It is frequently needed *for the denominator*.

Plug in data and compute:

$$\gg \gg P[T_P] = rac{5}{1000} \cdot rac{96}{100} + rac{995}{1000} \cdot rac{2}{100} \gg \approx 0.0247$$

5.  $\equiv$  Compute answer.

• Plug in and compute:

$$P[A_P \mid T_P] = P[A_P] \cdot rac{P[T_P \mid A_P]}{P[T_P]}$$

$$\gg \gg -0.96 \cdot rac{0.005}{0.0247} \quad \gg \gg -pprox 19\%$$

#### **& Intuition - COVID testing**

Some people find the low number surprising. In order to repair your intuition, think about it like this: roughly 2.5% of tests are positive, with roughly 2% coming from *false* positives, and roughly 0.5% from *true* positives. The true ones make up only 1/5 of the positive results!

(This rough approximation is by assuming 96% = 100%.)

If two tests both come back positive, the odds of COVID are now 98%.

If only people with symptoms are tested, so that, say, 20% of those tested have COVID, that is,  $P[A_P | T_P] = 20\%$ , then one positive test implies a COVID probability of 92%.

 $\mathscr{O}$  Exercise - Bayes' Theorem and Multiplication: Inferring bin from marble

There are marbles in bins in a room:

- Bin 1 holds 7 red and 5 green marbles.
- Bin 2 holds 4 red and 3 green marbles.

Your friend goes in the room, shuts the door, and selects a random bin, then draws a random marble. (Equal odds for each bin, then equal odds for each marble in that bin.) He comes out and shows you a red marble.

What is the probability that this red marble was taken from Bin 1?

Solution

# Independence

## **12 Theory**

Two events are independent when information about one of them does not change our probability estimate for the other. Mathematically, there are three ways to express this fact:

#### **B** Independence

Events A and B are **independent** when these (logically equivalent) equations hold:

- $\bullet \ P[B \mid A] = P[B]$
- $P[A \mid B] = P[A]$
- $P[BA] = P[B] \cdot P[A]$

• I The last equation is symmetric in *A* and *B*.

- Check: BA = AB and  $P[B] \cdot P[A] = P[A] \cdot P[B]$
- This symmetric version is the preferred definition of the concept.

Multiple-independence

A collection of events  $A_1, \ldots, A_n$  is **mutually independent** when every subcollection  $A_{i_1}, \ldots, A_{i_k}$  satisfies:

$$P[A_{i_1}\cdots A_{i_k}] = P[A_{i_1}]\cdots P[A_{i_k}]$$

A potentially *weaker condition* for a collection  $A_1, \ldots, A_n$  is called **pairwise independence**, which holds when all 2-member subcollections are independent:

$$P[A_iA_j] = P[A_i] \cdot P[A_j] \quad ext{for all } i 
eq j$$

One could also define 3-member independence, or *n*-member independence. Plain 'independence' means *any*-member independence.

# **13 Illustration**

### Exercise - Independence and complements

Prove that these are logically equivalent statements:

- A and B are independent
- A and  $B^c$  are independent
- $A^c$  and  $B^c$  are independent

Make sure you demonstrate both directions of each equivalency.

Solution

#### $\equiv$ Example - Checking independence by hand

A bin contains 4 red and 7 green marbles. Two marbles are drawn.

Let  $R_1$  be the event that the first marble is red, and let  $G_2$  be the event that the second marble is green.

- (a) Show that  $R_1$  and  $G_2$  are independent if the marbles are drawn with replacement.
- (b) Show that  $R_1$  and  $G_2$  are not independent if the marbles are drawn *without* replacement.

#### Solution

(a) With replacement.

- 1.  $\equiv$  Identify knowns.
  - Know:  $P[R_1] = \frac{4}{11}$
  - Know:  $P[G_2] = \frac{7}{11}$
- 2.  $\equiv$  Compute both sides of independence relation.
  - Relation is  $P[R_1G_2] = P[R_1] \cdot P[G_2]$
  - Right side is  $\frac{4}{11} \cdot \frac{7}{11}$
  - For  $P[R_1G_2]$ , have  $4 \cdot 7$  ways to get  $R_1G_2$ , and  $11^2$  total outcomes.
  - So left side is  $\frac{4\cdot7}{11^2}$ , which equals the right side.
- (b) Without replacement.

 $1 \equiv$  Identify knowns.

- Know:  $P[R_1] = \frac{4}{11}$  and therefore  $P[R_1^c] = \frac{7}{11}$
- We seek:  $P[G_2]$  and  $P[R_1G_2]$
- 2.  $\Rightarrow$  Find  $P[G_2]$  using Division into Cases.

• Division into cases:

$$G_2=G_2\cap R_1\,igcup\, G_2\cap R_1^c$$

• Therefore:

$$P[G_2] = P[R_1] \cdot P[G_2 \mid R_1] + P[R_1^c] \cdot P[G_2 \mid R_1^c]$$

• Find these by counting and compute:

$$\gg P[G_2] = \frac{4}{11} \cdot \frac{7}{10} + \frac{7}{11} \cdot \frac{6}{10} \gg \frac{70}{110}$$

3.  $\equiv$  Find  $P[R_1G_2]$  using Multiplication rule.

• Multiplication rule (implicitly used above already):

$$P[R_1G_2] = P[R_1] \cdot P[G_2 \mid R_1] \quad \gg \gg \quad \frac{4}{11} \cdot \frac{7}{10} \quad \gg \gg \quad \frac{28}{110}$$

4.  $\equiv$  Compare both sides.

- Left side:  $P[R_1G_2] = \frac{28}{110}$
- Whereas, right side:

$$P[R_1] \cdot P[G_2] = \frac{4}{11} \cdot \frac{70}{110} = \frac{28}{121}$$

• But  $\frac{28}{110} \neq \frac{28}{121}$  so  $P[R_1G_2] \neq P[R_1] \cdot P[G_2]$  and they are *not independent*.

# **Tree diagrams**

# 14 Theory

A **tree diagram** depicts the components of a **multi-stage experiment**. Nodes, or *branch points*, represent sources of randomness.

$0.3 < B_1$	0.8 A 0.2 N	$\bullet B_1 A \\ \bullet B_1 N$	$0.24 \\ 0.06$
$(0.4)$ $B_2$ $(0.4)$	0.2 0.9 A	$\bullet B_2 A$	0.36
0.3 B <sub>3</sub>	$ \begin{array}{cccc} 0.1 & N \\ 0.6 & A \\ \hline 0.4 & N \end{array} $		$0.04 \\ 0.18 \\ 0.12$

An *outcome* of the experiment is represented by a *pathway* taken from the root (left-most node) to a leaf (right-most node). The branch chosen at a given node junction represents the outcome of the "sub-experiment" constituting that branch point. So a pathway encodes the outcomes of all sub-experiments.

Each branch from a node is labeled with a probability number. This is the probability that the sub-experiment of that node has the outcome of that branch.

- The probability label on some branch is the conditional probability of that branch, assuming the pathway from root to prior node.
  - In the example:  $0.8 = P[A \mid B_1]$ .
  - Therefore, branch labels from given node sum to 1. (Law of Total Probability)
- The probability of a given (overall) outcome is the *product* of the probabilities on each branch of the pathway to that outcome.
  - Makes sense, because (e.g.):  $P[AB_1] = P[A] \cdot P[B_1 \mid A]$
  - More generally: remember that (e.g.):  $P[ABCD] = P[ABC] \cdot P[D \mid ABC]$
  - This overall outcome probability may be written at the leaf.

One can also use a tree diagram to remember quickly how to calculate certain probabilities.

For example, what is P[A] in the diagram? Answer: add up the pathway probabilities (leaf numbers) terminating in A. That makes 0.24 + 0.36 + 0.18 = 0.78

For example, what is  $P[B_1 | N]$ ? Answer: divide the leaf probability of  $B_1N$  by the total probability of N. That makes:

$$P[B_1 \mid N] = rac{0.06}{0.06 + 0.04 + 0.12} pprox 0.27$$

# **15 Illustration**

 $\equiv$  Example - Tree diagrams: Marble transferred, marble drawn

#### Setup:

- Bin 1 holds five red and four green marbles.
- Bin 2 holds four red and five green marbles.

### Experiment:

- You take a random marble from Bin 1 and put it in Bin 2 and shake Bin 2.
- Then you draw a random marble from Bin 2 and look at it.

#### Questions:

- (a) What is the probability you *draw* a red marble?
- (b) Supposing that you drew a red marble, what is the probability that a red marble was *transferred*?

### Solution

1. E Construct the tree diagram.



2.  $\equiv$  For (a), compute  $P[D_R]$ .

• Add up leaf numbers for  $D_R$  at leaf:

$$P[D_R] = rac{25}{90} + rac{16}{90} = rac{41}{90}$$

3.  $\equiv$  For (b), compute  $P[T_R \mid D_R]$ .

• Conditional probability:

$$P[T_R \mid D_R] = \frac{P[T_R D_R]}{P[D_R]}$$

• Plug in data and compute:

$$\gg \gg \quad \frac{25/90}{41/90} \quad \gg \gg \quad \frac{25}{41}$$

• Interpretation: mass of desired pathway over mass of possible pathways.

# Counting

# **16 Theory**

In many "games of chance", it is assumed by symmetry principles that all outcomes are equally likely. From this assumption we infer the rule for P[-]:

$$P[A] = \frac{|A|}{|S|}$$

In words: the probability of event *A* is the number of outcomes in *A* divided by the number of possible outcomes.

When this formula applies, it is important to be able to count total outcomes, as well as outcomes satisfying various conditions.

#### Permutations

**Permutations** count the number of *ordered lists* one can form from some items. For a list of r items taken from a total collection of n, the number of permutations is:

$$rac{n!}{(n-r)!}$$

To see where this comes from:

There are *n* choices for the first item, then n - 1 for the second, then ... then n - r + 1 for the  $r^{\text{th}}$  item. So the number is  $n(n-1)(n-2)\cdots(n-r+1)$ . Observe:

$$\frac{n!}{(n-r)!} = \frac{n(n-1)(n-2)\cdots(n-r+1)(n-r)(n-r-1)\cdots(n$$

$$\gg n(n-1)(n-2)\cdots(n-r+1)$$

## 🕆 Combinations, binomial coefficient

**Combinations** count the number of *sets* (ignoring order) one can form from some items. We define a notation for it like this:

$$\binom{n}{r} = rac{n!}{r!(n-r)!}$$

This counts the number of sets of r distinct elements taken from a total collection of n items.

Another name for combinations is the **binomial coefficient**.

This formula can be derived from the formula for permutations. The possible permutations can be partitioned into combinations: each combination gives a set, and by specifying an ordering of elements in the set, we get a permutation. For a set of r elements taken from n items, there are r! ways to put them into a specific order. So the number of permutations must be a factor of r! greater than the number of combinations.

This notation,  $\binom{n}{r}$ , is also called the **binomial coefficient** because it provides the coefficients of a binomial expansion:

$$(x+y)^n = \sum_{i=1}^n \binom{n}{i} x^{n-i} y^i$$

For example:

$$(x+y)^4 = x^4 + 4x^3y + 6x^2y^2 + 4xy^3 + y^4$$

#### **B** Multinomial coefficient

The general multinomial coefficient is defined by the formula:

$$inom{n}{r_1,r_2,\ldots,r_k}=rac{n!}{r_1!r_2!\cdots r_k!}$$

where  $r_i \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $r_1 + r_2 + \cdots + r_k = n$ .

The multinomial coefficient measures the number of ways to partition n items into sets with sizes  $r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_k$ , respectively.

Notice that  $\binom{5}{3,2} = \binom{5}{3}$  so we already defined these values (k = 2) with binomial coefficients. But with k > 2, we have new values. They correspond to the coefficients in multinomial expansions. For example k = 3 gives coefficients for  $(x + y + z)^n$ .

# **17 Illustration**

#### Exercise - Combinations: Counting teams with Cooper

A team of 3 student volunteers is formed at random from a class of 40. What is the probability that Cooper is on the team?

#### Solution

### $\equiv$ Example - Combinations: Groups with Haley and Hugo

The class has 40 students. Suppose the professor chooses 3 students Wednesday at random, and again 3 on Friday. What is the probability that Haley is chosen today and Hugo on Friday?

#### Solution

1.  $\equiv$  Count total outcomes.

- Have  $\binom{40}{3}$  possible groups chosen Wednesday.
- Have  $\binom{40}{3}$  possible groups chosen Friday.
- Therefore  $\binom{40}{3} \times \binom{40}{3}$  possible groups in total.

# 2. $\Rightarrow$ Count desired outcomes.

- Groups of 3 with Haley are same as groups of 2 taken from others.
- Therefore have  $\binom{39}{2}$  groups that contain Haley.
- Have  $\binom{39}{2}$  groups that contain Hugo.
- Therefore  $\binom{39}{2} \times \binom{39}{2}$  total desired outcomes.
- 3.  $\Rightarrow$  Compute probability.
  - Let E label the desired event.
  - Use formula:

$$P[E] = \frac{|E|}{|S|}$$

• Therefore:

$$P[E] \gg \frac{\binom{39}{2} \times \binom{39}{2}}{\binom{40}{3} \times \binom{40}{3}}$$
$$\gg \gg \left(\frac{\frac{39\cdot38}{2!}}{\frac{40\cdot39\cdot38}{3!}}\right)^2 \gg \approx \left(\frac{3}{40}\right)^2$$

#### $\equiv$ Example - Counting VA license plates

A VA license plate has three letters (with no I, O, or Q) followed by four numerals. A random plate is seen on the road.

- (a) What is the probability that the numerals are in increasing order?
- (b) What is the probability that at least one number is repeated?

## Solution

(a)

- $1 \equiv$  Count ways to have 4 numerals in increasing order.
  - Any four distinct numerals have a single order that's increasing.
  - There are  $\binom{10}{4}$  ways to choose 4 numerals from 10 options.
- 2.  $\equiv$  Count ways to have 3 letters in order except I, O, Q.
  - 26 total letters, 3 excluded, thus 23 options.
  - Repetition allowed, thus  $23 \cdot 23 \cdot 23 = 23^3$  possibilities.
- $3. \equiv$  Count total plates with increasing numerals.
  - Multiply the options:

$$23^3 \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 10 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix}$$

4.  $\equiv$  Count total plates.

- Have  $23 \cdot 23 \cdot 23$  options for letters.
- Have  $10 \cdot 10 \cdot 10 \cdot 10$  options for numbers.
- Thus  $23^3 \cdot 10^4$  possible plates.

5.  $\equiv$  Compute probability.

• Let *E* label the event that a plate has increasing numerals.

• Use the formula:

$$P[E] = \frac{|E|}{|S|}$$

• Therefore:

$$P[E] \gg \frac{23^3 \cdot \binom{10}{4}}{23^3 \cdot 10^4} \gg \frac{\frac{10!}{4!6!}}{10000} \gg \frac{21}{1000}$$

(b)

1.  $\Rightarrow$  Count plates with at least one number repeated.

• [] "At least" is hard! Try *complement*: "no repeats".

- Let  $E^c$  be event that *no* numbers are repeated. All distinct.
- Count possibilities:

$$|E^c|=23\cdot 23\cdot 23\cdot 10\cdot 9\cdot 8\cdot 7$$

- Total license plates is still  $23^3 \cdot 10^4$ .
- Therefore, license plates with *at least one number repeated*:

 $\left|E\right|=\left|S\right|-\left|E\right|$ 

$$\gg 23^3 \cdot 10^4 - 23^3 \cdot 10 \cdot 9 \cdot 8 \cdot 7 \gg 60348320$$

2.  $\equiv$  Compute probability.

• Desired outcomes over total outcomes:

$$rac{|E|}{|S|} \gg \gg rac{60348320}{23^3 \cdot 10^4} \gg \gg 0.496$$

## Counting out 3 teams

A board game requires 4 teams of players. How many configurations of teams are there out of a total of 17 players if the number of players per team is 4, 4, 4, 5, respectively.

Solutions